

# 2018 ANNUAL REPORT TRENDS (2017-2018)

## CHARLESTON COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATING COUNCIL



### MISSION

To assist in making sustainable, data-driven improvements to Charleston County's criminal justice system and thereby improve public safety and community well-being.

Every decision and every decision maker matters

### LOCAL JAIL USE— DIVERSION & DEFLECTION

CHALLENGE	PROGRESS										
<p>Give law enforcement more <b>options</b> for handling low-level, non-violent charges and <b>connect</b> vulnerable people with help rather than booking into jail when appropriate.</p>	<p><b>↓ 35%</b> arrests for criminal charges*</p> <p><b>↓ 17%</b> familiar faces cycling through jail</p> <p><b>↓ 28%</b> target single charge bookings (open container, public intox., trespass, shoplifting, simple poss. marijuana)</p>										
	<p><b>JAIL ACTIVITY BY COURT</b></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Summary Court</td> <td>23%</td> <td>GS Court</td> <td>7%</td> <td>Family Court</td> <td>12%</td> <td>Specialty Court</td> <td>26%</td> <td>Probation &amp; Parole</td> <td>8%</td> </tr> </table> <p><b>847</b> Tri-County Crisis Stabilization Center referrals (all sources)</p>	Summary Court	23%	GS Court	7%	Family Court	12%	Specialty Court	26%	Probation & Parole	8%
Summary Court	23%	GS Court	7%	Family Court	12%	Specialty Court	26%	Probation & Parole	8%		
	<p><b>↓ 12%</b> local jail bookings</p>										

### PRETRIAL JUSTICE

CHALLENGE	PROGRESS														
<p><b>97%</b> of the local jail population is awaiting trial</p> <p><b>40%</b> of GS releases on bond in 2014 returned to jail pretrial (at least 1x)</p> <p>Of the 40% that failed, they often failed repeatedly</p>	<p><b>4,289</b> pretrial service reports to court</p> <p>pretrial services report launched January 2018 to help make bond setting more risk-based</p>														
	<p><b>RATE OF FAILURE INCREASED WITH RISK LEVEL FOR ASSESSED DEFENDANTS</b></p> <table border="1"> <caption>Rate of Failure by Risk Level</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Risk Level</th> <th>Rate of Failure</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Level 1</td> <td>11.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Level 2</td> <td>19.1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Level 3</td> <td>22.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Level 4</td> <td>26.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Level 5</td> <td>27.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Level 6</td> <td>32.2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Risk Level	Rate of Failure	Level 1	11.9%	Level 2	19.1%	Level 3	22.7%	Level 4	26.0%	Level 5	27.7%	Level 6	32.2%
Risk Level	Rate of Failure														
Level 1	11.9%														
Level 2	19.1%														
Level 3	22.7%														
Level 4	26.0%														
Level 5	27.7%														
Level 6	32.2%														

### GENERAL SESSIONS (GS) CASE PROCESSING

CHALLENGE	PROGRESS
<p><b>379 days</b> median time to disposition in 2018 (+6 days since 2017)</p>	<p><b>↓ 5%</b> time to move evidence from law enforcement to prosecution</p> <p><b>1,439</b> defendants assigned public defenders for bond hearings</p>
<p><b>87%</b> (2017) → <b>96%</b> (2018)</p> <p><b>CURRENT CASE CLEARANCE RATE</b></p> <p>Incoming cases outnumber dispositions</p> <p>Dispositions outnumber incoming cases</p>	<p><b>↓ 23%</b> time to assign public defender</p> <p>faster transfer of discovery with Solicitor technology</p>
	<p><b>DATA</b></p> <p>Local justice system data exist in numerous separate agency databases. This makes it difficult to see the whole picture and track progress.</p> <p>The CJCC data warehouse now receives data from <b>15</b> different sources. This enables data analysis to guide ongoing improvements.</p>